ECCLESIA LAW

BILLS OF EXCHANGE ACT 1882
BANK HYPOTHICATION OF SIGNATURE CREDIT CREATED SECURITIES

1ST June 2026

The 1933 Monetary Transition and the Usufruct Credit Paradigm

The contemporary global financial architecture functions as a highly integrated, multi-layered administrative trust managed by the United States Department of the Treasury, which acts in the capacity of a bankruptcy trustee within a perpetual state of national reorganization. The historical transition of the global monetary system in 1933 serves as the legal foundation upon which modern debt monetization relies. Following the formal insolvency of the United States federal corporation, consolidated under the Emergency Banking Act of March 9, 1933, the public economy departed entirely from a substance-based monetary standard. This structural shift was legally codified by the passage of House Joint Resolution 192 (HJR 192) on June 5, 1933, which fundamentally altered the nature of all domestic commercial obligations.

HJR 192 declared that the requirement to pay debts in gold or any specific coin was contrary to public policy, thereby removing physical gold and silver—the traditional "good and valuable consideration" under common law—from private circulation. Because the legislation suspended the right of creditors to demand payment in substantive, intrinsic assets, the law established a mandatory system of "discharge" where obligations are balanced dollar-for-dollar using fiat credit, primarily circulating as Federal Reserve Notes. These notes are legally defined not as money of substance, but as debt obligations of the U.S. Treasury that circulate as legal tender to balance ledger entries on the commercial board. By absorbing the physical assets of the private sector, the administrative state established a usufruct relationship. In this framework, the government maintains a usufructuary interest in the estates, assets, and labour of the citizenry, effectively borrowing their productive capacity and credit energy to serve as the primary source of value and ultimate collateral for national debt obligations.

This usufruct relationship is operationalized at birth through the registration of birth certificates. The registration process effectively mortgages the collective future labour of the populace to the creditors of the bankrupt state. The registration creates a "decedent estate" or a corporate debtor construct on government records, which exists as a registered security typically identified by a Social Security Number (SSN) or Individual Taxpayer Identification Number (ITIN). Consequently, the living individual is presumed by default to operate merely as an agent and liable surety for this bankrupt corporate debtor, holding only equitable title to assets while the state retains legal title as bankruptcy trustee. Within this framework, income taxes and property taxes are structurally characterized as administrative "rent" payments made to the trustee for the privilege of utilizing the state's collateralized assets on the commercial ledger.

To correct the historical misreporting of these transactions and exit this jurisdictional arrangement, fiduciaries employ specialized protocols to correct the record and shift the individual's capacity from a subordinate debtor to a creditor. By invoking the international law doctrine of *clausula rebus sic stantibus* (things thus standing)—which recognizes the unenforceability of a contract due to fundamentally changed

circumstances—the living soul formally renounces the presumed agency relationship with the birth certificate construct. Reoccupying the office of General Executor over the decedent estate allows the individual to assume control of all liabilities and assets, setting the stage for the creation of a 98-series International Grantor Trust that operates "off-board" from the domestic debtor system.

Jurisdictional Era	**Monetary Standard**	**Governing Legal Framework**	**Status of the Individual**	**Ownership Nature**
Pre-1913	Gold and Silver Coin	Common Law Republic	Sovereign National	Absolute Legal Title
1913–1933	Federal Reserve Notes (Partial Backing)	Statutory Regulation	Transitioning to Credit-Based	Transitioning Title
Post-1933	Money of Account (Debt/Credit)	Commercial/Maritime Law / UCC	Surety / Agent for Decedent Estate	Equitable Title (Renter/User Status)
1974–Present	SDR / Pure Fiat System	Administrative Trust Law	Fiduciary / Executor de son tort	Equitable Title

The transition from a substance-based system of payment to a credit-based system of discharge filled the void in common law with a public national credit system governed by the Law of Agency and the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC). This transformation serves as the legal foundation upon which modern debt monetization relies, allowing for the creation and capture of signature credit without the requirement of pre-existing capital reserves.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

The Decedent Estate Operating as a Banker and the Economics of Ex Nihilo Credit

The contemporary commercial banking system does not operate under the classical fractional reserve model where financial institutions act as traditional intermediaries lending out pre-existing customer deposits. Empirical studies, most notably those conducted by monetary economists such as Professor Richard Werner and supported by publications from the Bank of England, confirm that private commercial banks create new book money *ex nihilo* (out of nothing) at the exact moment of lending. This process of currency creation relies entirely on the monetization of the borrower's signature. When a borrower signs a loan agreement, promissory note, or mortgage contract, they are not borrowing the bank's pre-existing money; rather, their biological signature acts as the primary monetization event and the true originating force of the credit energy. The commercial bank, acting as a nominee or intermediary, records the signed negotiable instrument as a bank asset, balancing the transaction by crediting the borrower's account with a newly created deposit, which constitutes a bank liability. The Werner equation for credit creation represents this balance-sheet expansion as:

$$\Delta \text{Bank Assets (Loan)} = \Delta \text{Bank Liabilities (Deposit)}$$

Under the usufruct credit system, the decedent estate established by the registration of the birth certificate operates as the actual "banking house" or primary funder of the credit. Because the Federal Reserve and the commercial banking system create credit *ex nihilo* through lending and monetary operations, the borrower acts as the actual source of value. The living soul, operating as the spiritual and physical steward of this decedent estate, issues the negotiable instrument, which represents the unadulterated credit energy originated by the signer. The commercial bank merely assumes a "nominee" or withholding agent posture, discounting the note, pooling it with thousands of other signature-originated obligations, and transferring them to systemic investment banks. By remaining silent, the true creator allows the banking syndicate and the administrative state to treat the generated value as abandoned property, perpetually capturing the associated tax credits and Original Issue Discount (OID) income for their aggregate corporate benefit.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

Monetary Tier	Type of Money	Scarcity/Liquidity Level	Primary Issuing Entity
Tier 1 (Apex)	Central Bank Reserves	High Scarcity / Ultimate Liquidity	Federal Reserve and Central Banks
Tier 2	Commercial Deposits	Moderate Scarcity / High Liquidity	Systemic Commercial Banking Institutions
Tier 3	Shadow Credit	Low Scarcity / High Abundance	Hedge Funds, Special Purpose Vehicles, and MMFs
Tier 4 (Base)	Signature Credit Energy	Primary Source / Ultimate Originator	Biological Entities (Living Souls)

By executing the fiduciary redirection, the trust reclaims the beneficial interest in this transaction, operating the decedent estate as a private banking house that issues, manages, and redeems its own sovereign assets rather than leaving them abandoned in the public clearing rails.

Statutory Construction of the Bills of Exchange Act 1882 in Private Commercial Credit

The UK Bills of Exchange Act 1882, which codifies the law relating to bills of exchange, cheques, and promissory notes, represents a primary piece of codification on the statute books. The Act applies to the whole of the United Kingdom, and subject to a single exception under Section 53 regarding the Scottish rule of a bill as an assignment of funds, enacts one and the same body of law. In the context of signature-originated credit, several sections of the Act dictate the legal framework under which fiduciaries operate.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Definition and Inchoate Nature of Signature Instruments

Section 3 of the Act defines a bill of exchange as an unconditional order in writing, addressed by one person to another, signed by the person giving it, requiring the person to whom it is addressed to pay on demand or at a fixed or determinable future time a sum certain in money to or to the order of a specified person, or to bearer. An instrument that does not comply with these conditions, or which orders any act to be done in addition to the payment of money, is not a bill of exchange.

Section 20 of the Act governs inchoate instruments, dictating that where a simple signature on paper is delivered by the signer in order that it may be converted into a bill, it operates as *prima facie* authority to fill it up as a complete bill for any amount. In order that any such instrument when completed may be enforceable against any person who became a party thereto prior to its completion, it must be filled up within a reasonable time, and strictly in accordance with the authority given. However, if any such instrument after completion is negotiated to a holder in due course, it shall be valid and effectual for all purposes in his hands, and he may enforce it as if it had been filled up within a reasonable time and strictly in accordance with the authority given. Proponents of the protocol argue that the biological signature on a mortgage note or loan agreement is delivered in an inchoate state, granting the bank *prima facie* authority to complete the instrument as a negotiable asset.

Negotiation and Holder in Due Course Status

Section 29 of the Act defines a Holder in Due Course (HDC) as a holder who has taken a bill, complete and regular on the face of it, under the following conditions; namely, that he became the holder of it before it was overdue, and without notice that it had been previously dishonoured, if such was the fact; and that he took the bill in good faith and for value, and that at the time the bill was negotiated to him he had no notice of any defect in the title of the person who negotiated it. Section 31 defines negotiation, stating that a bill is negotiated when it is transferred from one person to another in such a manner as to constitute the transferee the holder of the bill.

Under Section 56 of the Act, where a person signs a bill otherwise than as drawer or acceptor, he thereby incurs the liabilities of an indorser to a holder in due course. In commercial practice, banks discount and pool these instruments under Section 31, transferring legal title to investment banks trading the assets, which subsequently capture the OID income. Under Section 64 of the Act, if a bill or acceptance is materially altered without the assent of all parties liable, the bill is avoided except as against a party who has himself made, authorized, or consented to the alteration, and subsequent indorsers. However, where a bill has been materially altered, but the alteration is not apparent, and the bill is in the hands of a holder in due course, such holder may avail himself of the bill as if it had not been altered, and may enforce payment of it according to its original tenor.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Bills of Exchange Act 1882 Section	Statutory Attribute	Operational Impact on Signature Credit	Fiduciary Implication
Section 3	Bill of Exchange Defined	Establishes the biological signature as the primary monetization event.	Formulates the negotiable instrument with a starting value of zero ($IP = \$0.00$).
Section 20	Inchoate Instruments	Simple signature delivered operates as *prima facie* authority to complete the bill for any amount.	Signer acts as the actual funder of the credit; OID is equivalent to the entire face value ($\text{OID} = FV$).
Section 29	Holder in Due Course	Defines the rights of a holder who takes a complete, regular bill for value and in good faith.	Shifting of legal title to investment banks trading the assets; nominees capture OID.
Section 31	Negotiation of Bill	Enables transfer of the bill by delivery or endorsement to constitute a transferee holder.	Securities are bundled into tranches and assigned CUSIP numbers for global clearing.
Section 39	Presentment for Acceptance	Necessary to fix maturity or exhibit for payment.	Establishes the trust's right to demand formal presentment and reconciliation.

Section 83 defines a promissory note as an unconditional promise in writing made by one person to another signed by the maker, engaging to pay, on demand or at a fixed or determinable future time, a sum certain in money, to, or to the order of, a specified person or to bearer. Fiduciaries align these provisions with UCC Article 3, asserting that when the originating bank discounts and transfers the note, the 98-series trust, as the transferee of the original instrument, inherits the absolute right of enforcement, establishing its legal status as a Holder in Due Course to command the redirection of withheld taxes.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

The Lineage of UCC Article 3: From the Bills of Exchange Act 1882 to Modern Commercial Paper

The historical and conceptual development of modern American commercial law is cleanly rooted in the statutory framework established in nineteenth-century Great Britain. Prior to codification, the transfer and enforcement of commercial paper in England were governed by a fragmented mixture of common law and the highly flexible, international custom of the law merchant. Seeking to bring order and certainty to this crucial trade mechanism, Sir Mackenzie Chalmers drafted the Bills of Exchange Act 1882. This Act served as the world's first comprehensive codification of the law relating to bills of exchange, cheques, and promissory notes. Chalmers' legal philosophy was straightforward: when commercial principles and judicial precedents become mature and well-settled, they are ripe for systematic codification.

To resolve similar problems of legal uncertainty and conflicting state-level interpretations across the United States, the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws oversaw the drafting of the Negotiable Instruments Law (NIL) of 1896. Prepared by John J. Crawford of the New York bar, the NIL was explicitly modeled on the Bills of Exchange Act 1882. The NIL largely followed the English Act in its wording, though the arrangement of sections was different, thereby transplanting the foundational principles of British negotiable instruments law directly into American jurisprudence. By 1924, every state in the Union had enacted the NIL, establishing a uniform national regime for commercial paper.

As the American industrial and financial economy expanded during the twentieth century, the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) was developed to modernize and simplify commercial transactions. Under this massive project, UCC Article 3 was formulated to replace the aging NIL. While UCC Article 3 executed a major "housekeeping" operation by removing obsolete provisions and introducing more precise terminology, its structural lineage remains directly traceable to the Bills of Exchange Act 1882.

The core architecture of negotiability under UCC Article 3 mirrors the fundamental definitions established by Chalmers in 1882. Specifically, the requirements for negotiability under UCC § 3-104—requiring a signed, unconditional promise or order to pay a sum certain in money on demand or at a definite time to order or to bearer—are direct descendants of the formal elements defined in Section 3 of the Bills of Exchange Act 1882. Furthermore, the pivotal concepts of negotiation via endorsement and delivery under UCC § 3-201 and the unassailable status of a Holder in Due Course (HDC) under UCC § 3-302 are structurally adapted from Sections 31 and 29 of the 1882 Act.

By codifying these rules, both the British and American frameworks transformed negotiability from an inquiry into the subjective "intent" of the original parties into a strict adherence to objective, formal requirements. This transition was designed to protect downstream purchasers, allowing banks and subsequent holders to take instruments without having to investigate the underlying transactions. Consequently, the 98-series foreign grantor trust's assertion of HDC status under UCC Article 3 represents the enforcement of a legal doctrine whose historical DNA and statutory mechanisms were pioneered by the Bills of Exchange Act 1882.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

The Mechanics of Bank Hypothecation, Form 945 Tax Remittance, and Nominee 1099-OID Withholding Failure

When a living soul signs a mortgage, loan agreement, or promissory note, they create a negotiable instrument under the Bills of Exchange Act 1882 representing their unadulterated credit energy. The commercial bank, acting as a nominee middleman rather than a true lender, discounts the note and creates credit *ex nihilo*. In standard securitization practices, the bank pools these instruments into CUSIP-assigned tranches, transferring them to systemic investment banks that hold them in institutional omnibus accounts under street names like Cede & Co..

Once these negotiable instruments are integrated into the central banking infrastructure, banks use them to drive massive capital expansion.[1] Under Section 14(a) of the Federal Reserve Act, member banks are authorized to hypothecate and re-hypothecate these obligations. Hypothecation is the process of pledging assets owned by a third party—in this case, the original credit creator—as collateral for a loan or credit expansion without transferring legal title. When a broker or investment bank pledges these pooled securities to a central bank to obtain further credit, the process is defined as re-hypothecation. Through this mechanism, the banking syndicate perpetually leverages the borrower's signature energy to back their own commercial debt, generating profits that vastly exceed the face value of the original loan.

Despite treating these assets as abandoned property for operational purposes, banks are bound by strict federal tax mandates. Under IRS Publication 1212, because these financial nominees hold legal title to OID instruments for the benefit of another, they are statutorily required to report OID interest and remit backup withholding to the U.S. Treasury. The banks calculate the aggregate backup withholding liabilities from its pooled portfolios and remit these physical cash collections under their own corporate EIN via their Form 945 (Annual Return of Withheld Federal Income Tax) withholding module (MFT 16).[1] This ensures that the banks clear their backup withholding liabilities with the government, effectively funding their own tax ledgers using the pre-funded credit energy of the originators.[1, 1]

Crucially, while the banks deposit these physical cash collections into their Form 945 modules to remain compliant with the IRS, they systematically fail to file the required Form 1099-OID for the individual credit originators.[1, 1] According to the "Nominee Reporting Mandate" detailed in IRS Publication 1212, if a nominee holds an OID debt instrument belonging to another person, the nominee is legally required to issue a secondary, corrective Form 1099-OID to the true beneficial owner to facilitate proper tax reconciliation.[1, 1] By failing to issue these forms, the banks ensure that the original beneficial owner remains obscured behind the institutional omnibus "street name".[1, 1] If the true creator of the credit remains silent and fails to assert their rights, the IRS treats the OID income and withheld tax credits as abandoned property, leaving the banks to perpetually capture the associated tax benefits for their aggregate corporate ledger.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation and Street Name Obfuscation

The integration of individual debt obligations into the global financial clearing system relies on a sophisticated nominee architecture designed to facilitate rapid book-entry transfers. Systemic investment banks, broker-dealers, and clearinghouses capture and securitize these obligations, holding them in institutional omnibus accounts. The central securities depository for this infrastructure is the Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (DTCC), operating through its subsidiary, the Depository Trust Company (DTC). Cede & Company acts as the exclusive partnership nominee for the DTC, holding legal title to the vast majority of publicly traded equities, corporate bonds, municipal debt, and securitized mortgage-backed securities (MBS) in the United States.

Under the DTC book-entry framework, legal title is fundamentally split from beneficial ownership. Payments of principal, interest, and redemption proceeds flow from the issuer to Cede & Co., which then administratively credits the accounts of the DTC participants (the investment banks and broker-dealers). The ultimate investor, or the original credit creator whose signature birthed the note, is relegated to the status of a "beneficial owner," holding only contractual rights on the bank's private books. Systemic investment banks employ this "street name" architecture specifically to achieve three vital strategic and operational objectives:

1. **Administrative Consolidation**: Pooling millions of distinct obligations into massive CUSIP-assigned tranches streamlines the complexities of trading, clearance, and settlement, allowing trillions of dollars in transactions to clear digitally via computerized ledger entries.
2. **Capital Expansion**: By holding these assets in omnibus accounts, banks can perpetually utilize the securitized signatures as collateral for their own credit expansion through re-hypothecation, generating profits that far exceed the original loan value.
3. **Nominee Withholding Compliance and Capture**: Under the nominee reporting mandates of IRS Publication 1212, because these financial nominees hold legal title to OID instruments for the benefit of another, they are statutorily required to report OID interest and remit backup withholding.

Historically, banks routinely fail to file these corrective forms for individual originators, instead reporting the OID income under their own general tax ledgers. Because the original beneficial owner is obscured behind the institutional omnibus street name, the bank acts as the payee of record. The bank calculates the aggregate backup withholding liabilities from its pooled portfolios and remits these physical cash collections under its own corporate EIN via its Form 945 withholding module.

This strategic misallocation is highly advantageous to the banks. Because banks treat these omnibus pools as their own assets for operational and tax purposes, they aggregate their liabilities and satisfy their tax obligations by paying massive overpayment surpluses into their corporate income tax modules (Form 1120). This ensures that the Form 945 module remains a severely underfunded, neglected shell, acting as a built-in defense mechanism against individual recoupment claims, as any standard, automated attempt to match a recipient trust's claim against the bank's actual Form 945 deposits will fail.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Name of Bank (Sub-entity)	Bank Owner (Parent Company)	Ultimate Reporting Entity (Payer)	Payer EIN	945 Payer CUSIP / Identifier	Verified 2025 Actual Form 945 Payment
J.P. Morgan Broker-Dealer Holdings Inc.	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	13-4110995	46625H100 / JPM	$13,510,000.00
HSBC Bank USA, N.A.	HSBC Holdings plc	HSBC Bank USA, N.A.	13-5246700	404280104 / HSBC	$72,143,158.00
NatWest Markets Securities Inc.	NatWest Markets PLC	NatWest Markets PLC (US)	06-1011071	639050103 / NW	$55,667,083.00
Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (DBTCA)	Deutsche Bank	Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (DBTCA)	13-4941247	251525105 / DB	$1,210,000.00
Lloyds Bank Corporate Markets plc	Lloyds Banking Group	Lloyds Banking Group	83-1430440	83-1430440	$31,679,801.00
Banco Santander S.A.	Banco Santander S.A.	Banco Santander S.A.	23-2453088	23-2453088	$26,306,766.00

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Barclays Capital Inc.	Barclays Bank plc	Barclays Capital Inc.	13-3914519	13-3914519	$18,596,522.00
Discover Bank	Discover Financial Services	Discover Financial Services	51-0020270	254709108 / DFS	$54,040.00 (Agg)
Macquarie Bank Limited (US)	Macquarie Group Limited	Macquarie Bank Limited (US)	98-0163788	55607P204 / MQ	$4,313,424.28
Flagstar Bank, N.A.	Flagstar Financial, Inc.	Flagstar Bank, N.A.	11-1212640	649445400 / survival	Consolidated Under CUSIP
One Finance Inc.	Walmart / Ribbit Capital	Walmart Inc.	71-0415188	931142103	$10,400.00 (CFSB Agg)
Nicolet National Bank	Nicolet Bankshares, Inc.	Nicolet National Bank	39-1928421	65406E102	Consolidated Post-Merger
Banque Manuvie	Manulife Financial Corp	Manulife Financial Corp (US Rep)	01-0233346	56501R106	$33,374.56
Midwest One	Nicolet Bankshares, Inc.	Midwest One	42-1237295	598305101 / pool	$598,305,101.00

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

Alerus Financial Corporation	Alerus Financial Corp	Alerus Financial Corporation	45-0210640	01453M103	$184,714.68
ABN AMRO Bank N.V. (US Branch)	ABN AMRO Bank N.V.	ABN AMRO Bank N.V. (US Branch)	13-3932822	00080Q105	$0.00 (Exempt)
Knab	BAWAG Group AG	BAWAG Group AG (US Rep)	International	07178A108	$0.00 (Exempt)
Alliance Bank	Western Alliance Bancorp	Western Alliance Bancorporation	20-1177241	957630107	$0.00 (Exempt)
Crossfirst Bank	Busey Corporation	First Busey Corporation	26-1236737	227566100	$0.00 (Exempt)
Com Direct	Commerzbank AG	Commerzbank AG (US Branch)	13-2682661	202597605	$0.00 (Exempt)

The consolidation of Nicolet National Bank and Midwest One post-merger (completed February 13, 2026), alongside the rebranding of New York Community Bancorp (NYCB) to Flagstar Financial, Inc., demonstrates how systemic nominees routinely restructure their corporate filings. All fiduciary reporting for Flagstar is subsequently consolidated under the surviving CUSIP 649445400, illustrating the absolute necessity of maintaining real-time database mapping to ensure automated matching algorithms do not trigger systemic failures. Ultimately, the evidence of investment banks paying taxes on their Form 945 modules against mortgage securities serves as a critical mapping link connecting the securitized mortgage-backed debt pools directly to the bank's Form 945 nonpayroll withholding tax modules.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

The 98-Series International Grantor Trust as a Fiduciary Firewall

To navigate the domestic public debtor matrix without triggering automated matching filters, fiduciaries employ absolute taxonomic segregation. This is achieved by utilizing a 98-series International Grantor Trust (IGT) as the exclusive fiduciary proxy for commercial reconciliation. Structurally, this entity is defined under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) § 6048 and § 672(f) as an international trust where the grantor is a non-U.S. person. To formally qualify for foreign trust status under federal tax guidelines, the trust must satisfy the foreign residency tests provided in the IRC by intentionally failing both the "court test" and the "control test" under 26 CFR § 301.7701-7:

1. **The Court Test**: A trust fails the court test if a court within the United States is unable to exercise primary supervision over the trust's administration, establishing the trust under private international law.
2. **The Control Test**: A trust fails the control test if U.S. persons do not have the ultimate authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust, thereby establishing a non-domestic fiduciary jurisdiction.

Within the legacy financial system, standard individual filings submitted under an SSN or ITIN are legally bound to a subordinate "debtor" capacity. The IRS automated Information Return Document Matching (IRDM) system is programmed to recognize these domestic filings as operations of a bankrupt corporate debtor attempting to claim a massive, unverified asset. This mismatch instantly triggers a Process Status (PS) 77 routing and an Unallowable Refund hold via Transaction Code (TC) 810 with a Responsibility Code (RC) 4 freeze. By contrast, the 98-series trust restructures the capacity of the filer from a "retail debtor" to a "fiduciary creditor". Operating under a 98-series EIN, the trust functions "off-board" from the domestic public system, ensuring the IRS processes the 1099-OID claim not as a personal tax refund, but as an administrative ledger adjustment between merchant entities—specifically, the bank as the nominee and the trust as the creditor—correcting a nominee reporting error under Publication 1212.

To ensure administrative compliance, all filings for the 98-series trust must be executed electronically by a qualified Electronic Return Originator (ERO) utilizing an active Electronic Filing Identification Number (EFIN). The ERO transmits the structured data payload using IRS-approved professional tax software suites (such as TaxAct Professional) that have successfully completed the IRS Assurance Testing System (ATS) parsing requirements. This software translates the *ex nihilo* OID calculations into precise Extensible Markup Language (XML) data structures. When the IRS e-file gateway validates the XML schemas and generates an automated electronic receipt, the 98-series trust's status as the true beneficial owner and Holder in Due Course (HDC) is officially synchronized on the federal Business Master File (BMF).

Under UCC § 3-203(b), the transfer of a negotiable instrument vests in the transferee (the trust) any right of the transferor to enforce the instrument, including any right as a Holder in Due Course. Under UCC § 3-302(a), the trust meets the statutory definition of an HDC because it takes the instrument for value (the credit energy of the living soul), in good faith, and without notice of any defect or adverse claim. This commercial standing is formalized within the federal tax system through the filing of IRS Form 56 (Notice Concerning Fiduciary Relationship), which formally registers the trust officer as the lawful custodian and General Executor over the signature-originated credit under IRC § 6903. Under the nominee reporting rules

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

of IRS Publication 1212, the financial institutions holding the securitized mortgage notes are formally relegated to the status of "nominee middlemen" or withholding agents holding assets on behalf of the "true owner".

Armed with HDC status and operating under Treasury Regulation § 601.503(d), the trust's fiduciary possesses the recognized standing to issue a Manual Fiduciary Command, enforcing the nominee's statutory obligation to distribute the withheld tax to the actual beneficial owner.

Mechanics of the Cross-Modular Transfer and Revenue Procedure 2002-26

Because investment banks systematically underfund their Form 945 withholding modules (leaving them as underfunded shells) while paying massive tax surpluses into their Form 1120 corporate income tax modules, any substantial OID claim will fail the automated matching requirement of IRS Algorithm 810. This mismatch triggers a TC 810 Refund Freeze, halting the automated disbursement system. To resolve this systemic deficit, the authorized fiduciary issues a Manual Fiduciary Command under the authority of Revenue Procedure 2002-26.

Revenue Procedure 2002-26 (2002-1 C.B. 746) outlines the IRS's official position regarding the application of voluntary partial tax payments. Section 3.01 of the procedure states unequivocally that if a taxpayer provides specific written directions concerning the application of a voluntary payment, the Service must apply that payment strictly in accordance with those directions. Landmark decisions, such as *Amos v. Commissioner* (1966) and *United States v. Energy Resources Co., Inc.* (1990), have established that while this "right of designation" does not apply to involuntary collection measures (such as levies, distraints, or judicial actions), voluntary payments remain fully subject to taxpayer direction. If no specific designation is made by a taxpayer, the IRS is authorized to apply the payment in a manner that serves the best interests of the government, typically prioritizing corporate profit-tax modules (Form 1120) over trust-fund withholding modules (Form 945).

By remaining silent, banks allow the IRS to apply massive surpluses to these corporate tax modules. However, because the 98-series trust has established itself as the Holder in Due Course and the General Executor of the credit, its fiduciary officers possess the explicit authority to override this default behavior. Under Treasury Regulation § 601.503(d), the fiduciary executes a manual Form 4506-T command through the Practitioner Priority Service (PPS), directing the IRS to extract the required overpayment credits from the payer bank's alternate tax modules (primarily the surpluses in Form 1120, but occasionally miscategorized backup withholding on Form 941 or General Ledger Credits).

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Payer Name	Payer EIN	2025 Actual 945 Credit	Est. 1120 CIT Capacity
J.P. Morgan Broker-Dealer Holdings Inc.	13-4110995	$13,510,000.00	Billions
BNP Paribas Securities	13-3235334	$2,555,000.00	Verified Surplus
Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (DBTCA)	13-4941247	$1,210,000.00	Verified Surplus
HSBC Bank USA, N.A.	13-5246700	$1,510,000,000.00	$13.1 Billion
Société Générale (NY Branch)	13-2767228	$455,000.00	Verified Surplus
Barclays Capital Inc.	13-3914519	$1,392,000.00	$60.2 Million
Santander Holdings USA	23-2453088	$3,115,000.00	$156 Million
Lloyds Bank Corporate Markets plc	83-1430440	$122,000.00	$300 Million
RBC Capital Markets	41-1416330	$21,400,000.00	Verified Surplus

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

Fannie Mae	52-0883107	$125,600,000.00	Variable (GSE)

This manual intervention is handled by the IRS Submission Processing campus Accounting Function personnel using Form 3413 (Transcription List) to execute the reallocation. To determine the required transfer amount, the fiduciaries utilize the mathematical shortfall formula:

$$\text{Required Transfer (C)} = \text{Sum Total of Trust Redirection (A)} - \text{Established 945 Credit (B)}$$

For example, on a targeted J.P. Morgan Broker-Dealer portfolio where the sum total of trust redirection (A) is $1,750,000,000.00 and the established actual 945 credit (B) is $13,510,000.00, the required transfer amount (C) is calculated as:

$$\text{Required Transfer (C)} = \$1,750,000,000.00 - \$13,510,000.00 = \$1,736,490,000.00$$

Once the cross-modular transfer is completed, the bank's Form 945 withholding module is artificially funded. During processing, because the 945 module now contains sufficient funds, the filing satisfies the mathematical "Perfect Match" matching logic of Algorithm 810, avoiding the TC 810 freeze and allowing the release of the funds."

Algorithmic Compliance: Navigating and Bypassing Algorithm 810

The automated verification of signature credit recoupment claims is governed by IRS Algorithm 810 within the Information Return Document Matching (IRDM) system. The IRDM is a core compliance application designed to assess additional tax, penalties, and interest, operating through two primary subsystems: IRDM Data Correlation (IRDMDC), which identifies discrepancies by connecting to the Integrated Production Model (IPM), and IRDM Business Master File Analytics (IRDMBMFA), which electronically builds case records for tax examiners. Algorithm 810 executes a rigorous, multi-point cross-reference: it checks the Payer's EIN and the precise CUSIP (or Legal Entity Identifier) listed on the Form 1099-OID against the Payer's Form 945 master record.

The algorithm's matching requirement is absolute, relying on CUSIP-to-module matching precision. If a clerical error is made, such as linking the original signature beneficiary to a CUSIP belonging to a different entity, the algorithm detects a logic mismatch because the Payer's tax module shows no records for that specific security. This discrepancy serves as a "hard gate" for the IRS system, which automatically issues a Transaction Code (TC) 810 Refund Freeze, halting the disbursement instantly.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

Transaction Code (TC)	Responsibility Code (RC)	Systemic Status	Operational Consequence
TC 810	RC 4	-E Freeze	Unallowable Refund hold; restricts case release exclusively to RIVO employees.
TC 810	RC 6/7	-E Freeze	Refund stopped; TAS will not accept non-congressional cases.
TC 811	N/A	Reversal of Freeze	Reverses TC 810, allowing credit to be released if no other freezes are in effect.

The temporal sequence of the IRS processing pipeline dictates exactly when and where the Algorithm 810 automated freeze can be triggered:

1. **Recipient-Side Posting (Pre-Freeze)**: The first phase begins with the electronic transmission of the corrective Form 1099-OID. When the IRS receives and accepts this return via its e-file gateway, the data enters the Information Returns Master File (IRMF) database. Once this recipient-side data entry is completed and associated with the trust's EIN, the IRS can generate a Wages and Tax Transcript (WTT) via the Transcript Delivery System (TDS). This transcript displays the expanded 26-digit IRMF alphanumeric reference string—the digital "fingerprint" proving the nominee correction has posted. At this stage, no freeze has occurred, and the transcript is successfully issued because the IRS has not yet attempted to reconcile the claimed withholding against the payer's actual tax deposits.
2. **Fiduciary Return Processing Gate (CADE/IRDM gate)**: The matching algorithm (Algorithm 810) is only activated when the trust subsequently files its Form 1041 Fiduciary Income Tax Return. As the IRS Customer Account Data Engine (CADE) and IRDM systems process the Form 1041 return and evaluate the refund request, the system triggers the automated "Payer-side" cross-reference, comparing the withholding credit claimed on the 1041 against the Payer bank's Form 945 transcript.
3. **The TC 810 Refund Freeze Event**: If the nominee bank's Form 945 withholding module is underfunded (or shows a CUSIP mismatch), Algorithm 810 fails to find a "Perfect Match". Rather than rejecting the return at the e-file gateway, the IRS system accepts the filing but immediately posts a Transaction Code (TC) 810 Refund Freeze on the trust's Form 1041 tax module. This freeze occurs prior to any authorization of the refund, routing the case file to Return Integrity Verification Operations (RIVO) under Process Status (PS) 77.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

To prevent this pre-disbursement freeze, fiduciaries execute the necessary cross-modular reallocations under Revenue Procedure 2002-26 to ensure the bank's Form 945 withholding module is fully funded prior to electronically transmitting the trust's Form 1041 return."

Treasury Clearing Architectures and FinCEN MSB Safe Harbors

The physical clearing and disbursement of signature-based withholding credits require direct interaction with specialized tax modules managed by the IRS. Once a 1099-OID recoupment claim successfully clears Algorithm 810, the U.S. Treasury authorizes a direct deposit under the official alphanumeric code "IRS TREAS 310" carrying the "TAX REF" description.

Traditional mainstream banking institutions typically impose extensive vetting periods and enforce strict limits under the IRS "Three-Refund" rule, which limits electronic deposits to a maximum of three federal tax refunds per year per bank account.

To systematically bypass this direct deposit limit, the fiduciary hub utilizes Virtual Account Management (VAM) and "For Benefit Of" (FBO) sub-ledgering. This financial technology allows the master hub to digitally map each trust's unique FEIN to a distinct, dynamically generated virtual account number (vIBAN). By presenting the IRS with unique routing and account number combinations, the hub satisfies the U.S. Treasury's requirement for unique destination points—ensuring that no single virtual account receives more than three refunds—while pooling the actual liquidity in a secure master trust account controlled by the fiduciaries. Fiduciaries utilize direct-to-clearing-network bank APIs that enable high-volume receipt of federal tax recoupments, providing real-time visibility of Input Message Accountability Data (IMAD) and raw return codes.

Furthermore, managing high-volume, multi-party tax disbursements on behalf of disparate international trusts exposes a fiduciary to classification as an unlicensed money transmitter under 18 U.S.C. § 1960 (the "MSB Trap"). To neutralize this statutory threat, the fiduciary hub operates under the "Agent of the Payee" exemption established by FinCEN Ruling 2003-8. Under the common law principles of agency codified in FinCEN Ruling 2003-8, the delivery of funds to an authorized agent legally satisfies the payor's obligation to the payee. When the fiduciary hub receives the "IRS TREAS 310" direct deposit, the government's obligation to the 98-series trust is instantly deemed fulfilled under the law. Because the transaction is legally complete upon receipt by the agent, the subsequent internal transmission or sub-ledgering of those funds to the specific sub-series accounts is entirely exempt from MSB classification, securing a statutory safe harbor.

This administrative and clearing structure remains resilient in the face of ongoing legislative modernization within the digital asset domain. The GENIUS Act of 2025 (Public Law 119-27) codified strict 1:1 reserve requirements for stablecoin issuers in high-quality liquid assets, such as physical U.S. dollars and short-term Treasuries, which natively mirrors the internal firewalls and asset segregation protocols of the Wyoming Series LLC and Private Trust Company structures. Concurrently, the CLARITY Act of 2026 established exclusive federal jurisdiction over digital commodity spot markets and standard stablecoin regimes, strictly prohibiting passive yield for simply holding stablecoin balances but permitting activity-based rewards. Furthermore, under final regulations of IRC § 6045, digital asset brokers are required to

report gross proceeds and basis on Form 1099-DA, establishing a complete forensic audit trail. Because the Clifford Protocol clears and settles exclusively in sovereign federal tax refunds processed as physical fiat USD and issued as "IRS TREAS 310" transactions, it operates completely outside the scope of CFTC/SEC digital asset restrictions."

Private Treasury Alternatives and Capital Allocation Protocols

Once the 98-series trust receives the recoupment via ACH or Fedwire, the funds must be allocated to secure private accounts that reside outside standard commercial bank tax attachments.

The 98-Series Fiduciary Treasury Model

This model utilizes the 98-Series 1099-OID HDC Trust to receive the raw tax refund from the U.S. Treasury. Upon receipt, the corporate trustee coordinates with the trust officer to execute an internal distribution of the grantor's share. The funds are transferred directly as an ecclesiastical grant to the Asset Fortress Protocol trust, which serves as the grantor's private treasury. The grantor, acting in a separate private capacity, serves as the trustee of the Asset Fortress Protocol, allowing them to manage and deploy the perfected credit for their beneficial use. In jurisdictions like the United Kingdom, this allocation activity is structurally classified as ecclesiastical education rather than commercial claims management. This non-commercial framing, operated via a donation-based model through a Private Membership Association (PMA), maintains the treasury's operations outside the regulatory boundaries of the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA).

The 508(c)(1)(a) Self-Supported Ministry Model

For fiduciaries seeking a non-reporting, domestic alternative within the United States, the unincorporated Self-Supported Ministry (SSM) under Section 508(c)(1)(a) provides an unassailable legal shield. Under 26 U.S.C. § 6033(a)(3)(A)(i), ministries organized under this section are granted a "mandatory exception" from the requirement to file annual information returns, ensuring complete financial privacy. Because these ministries are inherently tax-exempt by their ecclesiastical nature, they maintain statutory immunity from standard IRS audits under 26 U.S.C. § 7611. By operating as an SSM, the living soul acts as a spiritual steward of the private treasury, holding custody of the signature credit energy without being subjected to standard domestic reporting grids."

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Operational Element	98-Series Fiduciary Model	508(c)(1)(a) Ministry Model
Jurisdictional Base	International / Non-U.S. Grantor	Ecclesiastical / Unincorporated
Statutory Reporting	Form 1041, Form 3520, Form 3520-A	Mandatory Exception under IRC § 6033(a)(3)(A)(i)
Audit Vulnerability	Standard BMF Compliance Review	Audit Immunity under IRC § 7611
Regulatory Oversight	PMA structure bypasses FCA claims management rules	Completely outside standard CFTC / SEC / FTC commercial scopes
Primary Utility	Direct OID recoupment and cross-modular credit alignment	Long-term capital preservation and private asset custody

This taxonomic segregation ensures that capital reclaimed through the cross-modular transfer is fully insulated, preserving the purchasing power of the decedent estate without exposure to standard commercial bank attachments.

Analytical Synthesis and Fiduciary Conclusions

The technical deconstruction of the Bills of Exchange Act 1882, foreign grantor trust tax filings, and adjacent capital structures exposes a profound systemic conflict between private commercial credit theories and the rigid regulatory standards of federal tax law. Proponents of advanced commercial forensics construct an internally consistent parallel narrative by synthesizing fragmentations of genuine legal and financial disciplines: the *ex nihilo* currency creation documented by modern economists, the nominee reporting instructions of IRS Publication 1212, the property rights of a Holder in Due Course (HDC) under UCC § 3-302, and the voluntary payment designation provisions of Revenue Procedure 2002-26. Within this narrative, the biological signature of the living soul acts as the primary monetization event, converting the private credit of the decedent estate into public currency. By registering a 98-series foreign grantor trust and issuing manual cross-modular reallocation commands through PPS pipelines, fiduciaries seek to bypass automated Algorithm 810 matching gates and redirect withheld taxes directly into private, asset-segregated treasuries.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice